



Abstract: *The purpose of this research was to assess the familial aspects of corruption in district Peshawar, Pakistan. A sample size of 320 respondents out of 1872 was selected through a stratified random sampling technique. Gamma and chi-square tests were applied to determine the relationship between the dependent variable (perception of NAB paradigm for curbing corruption) and the independent variable (familial aspects of corruption). The main outcomes of the study were that corruption was breeding in society due to the loose role of the family institution. Moreover, ignorance of ethics in the socialization process, stresses on material gains, becoming rich overnight, not discouraging for corrupt practices, and no punishment for wrongdoers were the primary reasons for the presence of corruption in a society.*

Key Words: Corruption, Familial, NAB, Socialization, Corrupt Practices

Introduction

Family is the essential social organization within the frame of the institutional paradigm. A significant part of family life is socialization. The family is involved in assuming the liability for caring for its individuals by showing skills and social standards. An individual character is an impression of the qualities he has gotten and learned in the family. It is liable for the distribution of status and role and disguise of a character for making itself a reasonable part. This organization gave a lot of standards and showed the good and bad to its individuals for deciding a way in consistence to social and cultural traits. All individuals are familiar with releasing their parts concerning the division of work and social pecking order which fills in as a durable fixing to this very gathering of people. The family has various types like joint, nuclear, and extended, out with presence in various areas subject to the request of culture and circumstance. It is both formal and informal and centers around enlistment about race, religion, and identity (Machionis, 2005). In most of the customary social orders, corruption is considered friendly standards in contrast with current culture, and it's ascribed distinctly to the family socialization on a conventional basis by making individuals useful (Chiabi, 2006).

Clifford, [1994] has additionally demonstrated the loyalty of a joint family framework for keeping away from defilement as a means of corruption. Corruption is worthy to the majority of the customary family as it has been disguised to them the normal practices. The administrative methodology of controlling corruption while not thinking about the social points of view consistently met wet adverse results. Any person who is bad carries terrible standing to the family as all together (Olivier de Sardan, 1999; and Torie, 1996).

Lee and Guan [2013] have worked on the endeavors of corruption in a probit approach remembering the exact inductions of through set cases while living the corruption impact and demonstration of bribery. The author reasoned that the culture of bribery is seen in the cultural standards showing ability towards defilement or something else. The micro and macro information crossing long term of the investigation demonstrated that conduct is liable for the advancement of individual insight and corrupt practices (Dong et al., 2012).

Family is an essential social establishment vibrating the fundamental stone of social attachment in cultural textures. It is frequently portrayed by certain positive and negative personal conduct

† Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Rural Sociology, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, KP, Pakistan.

† Assistant Professor, Department of Rural Sociology, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, KP, Pakistan.

† Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology and Political Science. Bacha Khan University Charsadda, KP, Pakistan.

Email: kaleem@bkuc.edu.pk

standards either looking like prescribed and restricted standards (Ali, 1987) which may close at the end or, in any case, connection esteems in the general public. So it is considered vital to expand the essential family relationship framework in the preview of standard acts of the general public (Soekanto, 1983). Corruption, however not being featured yet; certain counter measures are worried about to beat it as a global help through examination authority of the anti-corruption establishments likes amnesty international with clear remain on the annihilation of corruption to feature the money laundering avoidance as wrongdoing (McWalters, 2006). In addition, some different authors have likewise shown towards the local area situated corruption including the Fernando Castillo, etc. (1999) who have referenced the result of improvement of the progress as the resultant factor of social norms degradation affected by familial connection as the image of assurance and reliance without decreeing the rural qualities to sneak in. In addition, the kingship relationship is weighted high over the rural, religious, and cultural standards of despondency for the bad practices.

Corruption is an illness that is common in all pieces of the world with differing degrees. Transparency International (TI) believes corruption to be quite possibly the most major problem of the advanced world. In spite of the fact that corruption is a worldwide marvel that is influencing every one of the nations across the globe, this issue has especially antagonistic ramifications for helpless nations (Olken and Pande, 2012). Corruption is certainly not a new phenomenon. Noticeable from the beginning of time, it isn't identified with a particular financial framework, be it capitalist, communist, or "momentary". (Dobel 1978: 958-959) stresses that "while in

contemporary use corruption, as a rule, implies the disloyalty of public trust for individual or gathering acquire, the specialized idea of the corruption of the body politic' has a long and great history in both political ways of thinking and polemics.

There are many contending meanings of corruption (Johnston, 1996; Rothstein and Varraich, 2017). The definitions utilized by bookkeepers are normally what Johnston (1996) calls conduct centered: corruption is the maltreatment of public office, forces, or assets for private advantage. Likewise, the International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI, 2013) characterizes corruption in the public area as the maltreatment of public power or trust for private advantage, while private area defilement may correspondingly be characterized as "a demonstration wherein an individual uses his situation to acquire some close to home benefit to the detriment of the association he addresses" (Wells, 2014). In a generally referred to the examination of the components of corruption, Klitgaard (1996) considers it the result of "imposing business model force" in addition to "attentiveness" less "responsibility."

Research Methodology

This research was carried out in Peshawar, Pakistan, through a cross-section design (Ullah & Muhammad, 2020), with the chief motivation to discover the familial parts of corruption. To collect the data stratified random sampling method was carried out (Kothari, 1985). The complete population was settled as 1872 individuals, tending to legal executive, NAB staff, and local bodies. A sample size of 320 has been settled by Sekaran (2016) rules. See table #1 for detail.

Table 1. Showing the Distribution of Population and Sample Size

Category	Population	Sample size
NAB staff	421	72
Local bodies	1437	245
legal executive	14	2
Total	1872	320

Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework, including dependent variable and independent variable, and back ground variables, is given in table #2 below;

Table 2. Conceptual Framework

Background Variables	Independent variables	Dependent Variable
1. Qualification	Familial aspect of corruption	Perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption
2. Income		

Results and Discussion

Uni-variate Data Analysis

Respondents' Attitude towards Familial Aspect of Corruption

Table no 3 shows that [67.5 %], [59.4 %] and [67.8 %] of the respondents were concurred that family assumes a crucial part in the socialization of its kin, in socialization measure morals is generally fundamental for relatives', and obliviousness of morals in socialization process can fall apart the current regularizing practices. These discoveries show the significance of the family as an institution, whenever worked in a debilitated model, which can prompt social decay of individual and circumstance. The above outcomes were in line to [Macionis \(2005\)](#), who pronounced family a center point of socialization with profound engraving on human personalities for a more drawn-out timeframe generally reflected in the relative character qualities of its individuals. It is likewise liable for bearing duties of granting a social situation to an individual compared to his group, statement of faith, and religion. Such courses of action are commensurate to acquire consistency in the personal conduct standards of its individuals. Also, [52.2 %], [61.6 %] and [59.1 %] conceded that family weights on material addition being acquired in whichever way, for example, lawful or unlawful

(degenerate), getting rich short-term in relational intricacies is another reason for corruption and family organization should show the interaction of socialization responsibility of each activity at any phase of life. The above discoveries were like that of [Elijah \(2007\)](#), who has additionally pointed towards social dynamics at the family being embraced by the culture. Such changes have been stylish in various societies, yet its training couldn't be disregarded; culture help to make well-off people as the genuine overseers of honor and regard have additionally squeezed the manageability of before cultural qualities protections. Besides, the main number of [59.1 %], [58.8 %], [59.4 %] and [66.3 %] in the investigation proclaimed that empowering great deed will debilitate degenerate practices, punishment of miscreant inside family decreases the events of defilement, strict educating inside family consistently mitigates the taking of corruption and family older folks could play an anchor job by having a cautiousness over the income of relatives. The discoveries had a nearby similitude to the conclusions of [Tittle and Welch \(1983\)](#), who tracked down that any general public which has to prevail with regards to protecting the strict educating and social qualities would make it unthinkable for corruption to rise in the predominant social framework.

Table 3. Respondents' Attitude towards Familial Aspect of Corruption

Familial aspects of corruption	Agree	Disagree	Uncertain
Family as a foundation assumes an essential part in the socialization of its kin	216 (67.5)	66 (20.6)	38 (11.9)
In socialization measure, morals is generally fundamental for family members.	190 (59.4)	90 (28.1)	40 (12.5)
Obliviousness of morals in the socialization cycle can disintegrate the current regularizing practices.	217 (67.8)	79 (24.7)	24 (7.5)
Family weights on material increase being acquired in whichever way, for example, legitimate or degenerate.	167 (52.2)	111 (34.7)	42 (13.1)
Getting rich short-term in the family in the relational intricacies is another reason for corruption.	197 (61.6)	80 (25.0)	43 (13.4)
The family foundation should instruct during the time spent socialization about responsibility of each activity at any phase of life.	189 (59.1)	78 (24.4)	53 (16.6)
Empowering great deeds will debilitate degenerate practices.	189 (59.1)	103 (32.2)	28 (8.8)
The discipline of miscreant inside family diminishes the events of defilement.	188 (58.8)	98 (30.6)	34 (10.6)
Strict instructing inside the family consistently alleviate the taking of debasement.	190 (59.4)	95 (29.7)	35 (10.9)
Family older folks could play an anchor part by having a cautiousness over the profit of relatives.	212 (66.3)	64 (20.0)	44 (13.8)

Association between Familial Aspects of Corruption and Perception on NAB Paradigm for Curbing Corruption

Table no 4 unveiled a positive ($\gamma=0.225$) and significant ($p< 0.05$) affiliation between family as an institution assuming an essential part in the socialization of its kin and perception on NAB paradigm for checking corruption. It is clear that

family has been relegated a distinctive part of passing on the socialization cycle covering pretty much every part of life. This cycle involves the division of qualities based on off-base and right being watched and checked through the method of social authorizations. The discoveries expanded the presence of such elements in the study region. [Van Maanen \(1976\)](#) has avowed that such procedural

showcases the socialization strategy. A stamped separation has been drawn with the bad and non-degenerate practices in the socialization cycle. In spite of the fact that families in specific cases pick to be important for degenerate practices nonetheless, certain reasons of support for possessing such antagonistic demonstrations to the genuine worth couldn't be precluded if a social arrangement is required. The family as an establishment has long kept going engraving on its individuals to shape the character with reason of disguise in the event that it is picked in any friendly conditions (Ashforth and Saks, 1996; [Olivier de Sardan, 1999](#); and [Macionis, 2005](#)).

Likewise, a positive ($\gamma = 0.183$) and significant ($p < 0.05$) relationship was found between in socialization measure morals as generally fundamental for relatives' and perception on NAB paradigm for checking defilement. Perceptions of [Chiabi \(2006\)](#) have been expanded their outcomes, who additionally recognized the family as a significant contributing element to catching the threat of corruption through its conventional automation dependent on the disguise of the significant worth framework.

Moreover, the connection between obliviousness of morals in the socialization cycle to weaken the current regulating conduct and perception of NAB paradigm for controlling corruption was likewise discovered positive and significant ($\gamma = 0.073$; $p < 0.05$). Besides being significant (Ashforth and Saks, 1996), either to be bad or to be a number estrangement, non-bad as considered appropriate in a specific circumstance. Also, a positive ($\gamma = 0.088$) and significant ($p < 0.05$) relationship has been found between family weights on material addition being procured in whichever way, for example, legitimate or degenerate and perception on NAB paradigm for checking corruption. The above discoveries were in close likenesses to [Elijah \(2007\)](#), who finished up the materialistic part of a culture is a pushing power behind the progressions in the non-materialistic culture, for example, esteem framework. Nonetheless, such circumstance could be joined in if a solid financial circumstance having openings for all individuals to acquire is existed applying tension on material culture not to go through energizing liberated from change within the non-material viewpoint ([de Graaf and Huberts, 2008](#); [Fishman and Gatti, 2002](#); [Forgues-Puccio and Blackburn, 2010](#); [Gundlach and Paldam, 2009](#); [Paldam, 2001](#); [Rauch and Evans, 2000](#); [Treisman, 2000](#); Alexandru V. Roman and Hugh T. Mill operator, 2013).

A positive ($\gamma = 0.252$) and significant ($p < 0.05$) relationship was recognized between getting rich short-term in the family as other reason for defilement and perception on NAB paradigm for controlling corruption. The above discoveries were like [Elijah \(2007\)](#) determinations that a culture indulgent enough by disregarding the cycle of good and bad while its individuals are endeavor to acquire a sped-up mode which has been seen in the majority of the agricultural nations. ([De Graaf and Huberts, 2008](#)). Also, the connection between family foundation to instruct during the time spent socialization about the responsibility of each activity at any phase of life and perception on NAB paradigm for controlling corruption was identified positive ($\gamma = 0.086$) and significant ($p < 0.05$) and a positive ($\gamma = 0.250$ and significant ($p < 0.05$) relationship existed between urging great deeds to debilitate corrupt practices and perception on NAB paradigm for checking corruption. Plateau (1994) had additionally shown towards the presence of a fair worth framework in any monetary organization will absolutely depose the untrustworthy component from the social and financial framework. Such outcomes must be benefited if a solid and reasonable observing and assessment framework is made in any friendly framework. The study further suggested a positive ($\gamma = 0.222$) and significant ($p < 0.05$) connection between discipline of miscreant inside the family to decrease the events of defilement and perception on NAB paradigm for controlling corruption. The outcome recommends the presence of any paradigm of check and offset with the thought that remuneration and discipline may lessen and debilitate the event of debasement at any level, as outlined by Plateau (1994). Additionally, a relationship existed between strict instructing inside the family to moderate the taking of debasement and perception on NAB paradigm for controlling corruption ($\gamma = -0.173$). They were crafted by Charles. et al (2013) couldn't be precluded; rather, accentuation on it in standards of conduct change is appropriately yielding. The connection between family seniors to play an anchor part by having a cautiousness over the profit of relatives and perception on NAB paradigm for controlling defilement was positive ($\gamma = 0.165$) and significant ($p < 0.05$). These discoveries embraced the former ends and were considering the [Chiabi \(2006\)](#) perceptions family can assume a significant part in controlling debasement if the seniors never urge youngsters to decide on it.

Table 4. Relationship between Familial Aspects of Corruption and Perception on NAB Paradigm for Curbing Corruption

Independent variables	Dependent variables	Statistics
Familial aspects of corruption		
Family as a foundation assumes an essential part in the socialization of its kin	perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 13.527$ (0.009) $\gamma = 0.225$
In socialization measure morals is generally fundamental for family's members.	perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 14.009$ (0.007) $\gamma = 0.183$
Obliviousness of morals in socialization cycle can disintegrate the current regularizing practices.	perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 9.602$ (0.048) $\gamma = 0.073$
Family weights on material increase being acquired in whichever way, for example, legitimate or degenerate.	perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 9.743$ (0.045) $\gamma = 0.088$
Getting rich short-term in the family in the relational intricacies is another reason for corruption.	perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 13.085$ (0.011) $\gamma = 0.252$
The family foundation should instruct during the time spent socialization about the responsibility of each activity at any phase of life.	perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 10.195$ (0.037) $\gamma = 0.086$
Empowering great deed will debilitate degenerate practices.	perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 9.484$ (0.050) $\gamma = 0.250$
Discipline of miscreant inside family diminishes the events of defilement.	perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 14.243$ (0.007) $\gamma = 0.222$
Strict instructing inside the family consistently alleviate the taking of debasement.	perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 15.393$ (0.004) $\gamma = 0.173$
Family older folks could play an anchor part by having a cautiousness over the profit of relatives.	perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 11.933$ (0.018) $\gamma = 0.165$

Association between Familial Aspects of Corruption and Perception on NAB Paradigm for Curbing Corruption in the Context of Respondent's Literacy as Control Variable

The demeanor of uneducated people with connection to familial aspects of corruption and perception of the NAB paradigm for controlling corruption was positive ($\gamma = 0.452$) and significant ($p < 0.05$). Also, the aforementioned factors showed a positive and significant relationship in respondents literate ($\gamma = 0.155$), ($p < 0.05$). Besides, the gamma likewise disclosed that the relationship finished up between the previously mentioned factors was non-spurious

for both the classifications respondents respectively while accepting proficiency as a control variable.

Family is the foundation in disguising the essential worth framework to its individuals, which clarified too under the translation of the gamma esteems appeared in table 4, and were seen to be in alignment to [Macionis \(2005\)](#) that as an essential specialist of socialization, family is building up a social situation on the occasions of class and rank division by saving the solid qualities framework in an act both inside the family and local area for acquiring more noteworthy understanding the common social framework.

Table 5. Association between Familial Aspects of Corruption and Perception on NAB Paradigm for Curbing Corruption as Controlling Literacy

Literacy	Independent variables	Dependent variables	Statistics
Illiterate	familial aspects of corruption	Perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 17.128$ (0.002) $\gamma = 0.452$
Literate	familial aspects of corruption	Perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2 = 13.485$ (0.036) $\gamma = 0.155$

Association between Familial Aspects of Corruption and Perception while Controlling Income as Background Variable

As uncovered from table 6, a positive ($\gamma = 0.277$) however non-significant ($p > 0.05$) affiliation was existed, in the low-income bunch, between familial parts of corruption and perception of NAB paradigm

for checking corruption. A positive ($\gamma = 0.384$) and significant ($p < 0.05$) connection was distinguished in the medium income group. In the high-income class, the connection between the previously mentioned factors was positive ($\gamma = 0.052$); however, non-significant ($p > 0.05$). The upsides of gamma demonstrated that the relationship insinuated for familial parts of corruption and perception on NAB

paradigm while checking corruption at bi-variate level was spurious when income was declared as a control variable in the low and high-income group while non-spurious for the middle-income bunch because of identical gamma value. It very well may be gathered from these discoveries that medium-income bunch had a reasonable job in control of

corruption by instilling the standards and qualities explicitly zeroing in on corruption as a restricted standard. [Van Maanen \(1976\)](#) has significantly insinuated towards the familial elements as the genuine viewpoints in recognizing the good and bad doing with viable results on the social framework security.

Table 6. Association between Familial Aspects of Corruption and Perception on NAB Paradigm for Curbing Corruption while Controlling Income

Income	Independent variables	Dependent variables	Statistics
Low	Familial aspects of corruption	Perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2= 5.861$ (0.210) $\gamma = 0.277$
Medium	Familial aspects of corruption	Perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2= 15.211$ (0.004) $\gamma = 0.384$
High	Familial aspects of corruption	Perception on NAB paradigm for curbing corruption	$\chi^2= 4.707$ (0.582) $\gamma = 0.052$

Conclusion & Recommendations

This research study concluded that corruption is existed in our society because of the non-utilitarian part of the family institution. The family assumes an imperative part during the process of socialization. In socialization, morals are important if that morals are overlooked, it crumbles the regulating conduct, which prompts corruption. Moreover, turning out to be rich overnight, not debilitating corrupt practices, no punishment for transgressors, and no conversation about accountability inside family members are the other variables that prompt corruption. The study

further investigated that both of the categories, i.e., educated and ignorant, had a sound conviction and affirmation about the job of family in checking corruption from the society. In addition, medium income group had a sensible occupation in charge of corruption by ingraining the principles and characteristics unequivocally focusing in on corruption as a restricted guidelines. Religious lessons inside the family, consolation of good deeds, having a carefulness over the family members, etc. were reached out as proposal considering the current examination.

References

- Ali, A. (1987). *Menjelajahi kajian empiris terhadap hukum* [Exploring empirical study towards law]. Jakarta: PT. Yasrif Watampone.
- Chiabi, D. K. (2006). *Corruption as a Consequence of Changing Social Values in Transitional Societies*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Society of Criminology (ASC), Los Angeles Convention Center, Los Angeles
- Clifford, M., & Troubled Tiger. (1994). *The Unauthorized Biography of Korea Inc.* (Singapore: Butterworth Heinemann Asia, 1994).
- De Graaf, G., & Huberts, L. W. J. C. (2008). Portraying the nature of corruption using an explorative case study design. *Public Administration Review*, *68*, 640-653.
- Dobel, J. P. (1978). "The Corruption of a State", *The American Political Science Review*, *72*(3), (September): 958-973.
- Dong, B., Dulleck, U., & Torgler, B. (2012). Conditional Corruption. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, *33*(3): 609-627.
- Elijah, A. (2007). Effects of Corruption and Economic Reforms on Economic Growth and Development: Lessons from Nigeria. African Economic Conference.
- Fishman, R., & Gatti, R. (2002). Decentralization and corruption: Evidence across countries. *Journal of Public Economics*, *83*, 325-345.
- Forgues-Puccio, G., & Blackburn, K. (2010). Financial liberalization, bureaucratic corruption and economic development. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, *29*, 1321-1339.
- INTOSAI. (2013). ISSAI 5700 guidelines for the audit of corruption prevention in government agencies,
- Johnston, M. (1996). The search for definitions: the vitality of politics and the issue of corruption, *International Social Science Journal*, *48*(149), 321-335.
- Klitgaard, R. (2006). Introduction: subverting corruption, *Global Crime*, *7*(3-4), 299-307.
- Lee, W. S., & Guven, C. (2013). Engaging In Corruption: The Influence of Cultural Values and Contagion Effects at the Microlevel. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, *39*(24), 287-300.
- Macionis, J. J. (2005). *Sociology* (10th ed), New Jersey. Pearson Prentice Hall.
- McWalters, I. (2006). *Memerangi korupsi: Sebuah peta jalan untuk Indonesia* [Against corruption: A roadmap for Indonesia]. Surabaya: PT. Temprina Media Grafika, First Printing
- Olivier de Sardan, & Jean-Pierre. (1999). "A moral economy of corruption in Africa", *Journal of Modern African Studies*, *37*(1),
- Olken, B. A., & Pande, R. (2012). Corruption in developing countries. *Annu. Rev. Econ.*, *4*(1), 479-509.
- Paldam, M. (2001). Corruption and religion add to the economic model. *Kyklos*, *54*, 383-413.
- Platteau, J. P. (1994). "Behind the market stage where real societies exist - Part I: The role of public and private order institutions", *The Journal of Development Studies*, 533 -577.
- Rauch, J. E., & Evans, P. B. (2000). Bureaucratic structure and bureaucratic performance in less developed countries. *Journal of Public Economics*, *75*, 49-71.
- Rothstein, B., & Varraich, A. (2017). *Making Sense of Corruption*. Cambridge. Cambridge University Press.
- Sekaran, U. (2016). *Research Methods for Business, A Skill Building Approach*, John Wiley & Sons. Inc. New York.
- Soekanto, S. (1983). *Hukum adat Indonesia* [Indonesian customary law]. Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Tittle, C. R., & Welch, M. R. (1983) Religiosity and deviances: toward a contingency theory of constraining effects. *Social Forces*, *61*(3), pp. 653-82.
- Treisman, D. (2000). The causes of corruption: A cross-national study. *Journal of Public Economics*, *76*, 399-457
- Ullah, A., & Muhammad, N. (2020). Prevalence of mental health problems among youth involved in street crimes. *Rawal Medical Journal*, *45*(4), 938-942.
- Van Maanen, J. (1976). *Breaking in: Socialization to work*. In: R. Dubin (Ed.), *Handbook of Work, Organization, and Society* 67-130. Chicago: Rand McNally
- Wells, J. T. (2014). *Principles of Fraud Examination*, 4th ed., Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons Inc.