Citation: Khan, S. I., Khan, M. S., & Ayub, S. (2022). University Students' Orientations of Motivation for Learning English Language: A Comparative Study. *Global Language Review*, VII(II), 95-104. https://doi.org/10.31703/glr.2022(VII-II).09



- DOI: 10.31703/glr.2022(VII-II).09
- URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.31703/glr.2022(VII-II).09
- Vol. VII, No. II (Spring 2022)

Pages: 96 – 104

University Students' Orientations of Motivation for Learning English Language: A Comparative Study

Shaista Irshad Khan

Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, KP, Pakistan. Email: dr.shaistakhan@awkum.edu.pk (Corresponding Author)

Muhammad Saeed Khan

Associate Professor, Department of Education, The University of Haripur, Haripur, KP, Pakistan.

Saddaf Ayub

Associate Professor, Department of Education, The University of Haripur, Haripur, KP, Pakistan.

• p-ISSN: 2663-3299

• e-ISSN: 2663-3841

L-ISSN: 2663-3299

Abstract: This study aims to identify and compare students' orientations of motivation for learning English language at tertiary level. The quantitative techniques were used to collect and analyze data. The sample of the study was randomly selected from twelve undergraduate programs four universities. The sample was comprised of 500 students, twenty (20) students, from each program of the above three universities of the sample. The researcher developed a questionnaire comprised of 16 items having students' integrative (4 items), instrumental (6 items), resultative (3 items), and intrinsic orientations of motivation (3 items). The collected data were tabulated and analyzed in terms of frequency of responses for each statement of the questionnaire. The mean scores, standard deviation, standard error of mean and ANOVA for comparison. The levels of students' integrative, instrumental, resultative and intrinsic orientations of motivation are high for learning English language because of their more inclination to learn English at university level.

Key Words: Autism, Conversation, Conversation Analysis, Diagnosis, Turn, Overlap

Introduction

People commonly use English communicate with people of other countries having their own local languages. In the global competition of languages, English holds a significant place as it is one of the widely used languages for communication at international level and serves an important role in higher learning and education (Govt. of Pakistan, 2006). Khalid (2016) claimed that than billion one people communicate in English through the world.

In most of the countries, learning of English is compulsory for students to meet the requirements of their educational institutions (Ali et al., 2019). There is a demand of proficiency of English language all over the world. Pakistan has the same scenario where it is used as a co official language and medium of instruction at educational institutions and so on. In Pakistan, English is taught in almost all the institutions from grade 1 to university education, and as a medium of instruction in

almost all higher education institutions. The people not only use in their young age but also use in their daily lives (Rahman, 1998). Learners are expected to master content of different fields of knowledge by knowing the medium of instruction. English language teaching and learning make the students to fulfill academic requirements in their areas of interset and specialized settings (Adams & Keene, 2000).

The interaction of other people requires language. In the success of the learner, psychological factors play a vital role in acquiring and employing a language. The past researches related to second language acquisition have mostly concentrated on learner-centered techniques, in order to make learners independent and autonomous (Tamada, 1996; Al-Qahtani, 2013; Al-Khairy, 2013). So the research for learning of language shifted from the product to the process how learning takes place (Oxford, 1990).

affective domain The of Bloom Taxonomy is the way through which learners understand and feel the environment respond accordingly to them. A learner gets biased by his emotional make up regarding any language which affects his learning process in negative or positive way. Negative affective factors are called 'affective filters'. For example the feeling of likeness for a language in a learner can enhance his language learning. Some of the vital affective factors which influence the process of language learning are as follows: Motivation can be referred to such an impulse or desire that helps an individual to act in a certain way. Motivation can be influenced by some individual, instructional or sociocultural factors. Although the identification and study of motivation is quite complex, however its significance in the process of learning cannot be denied (Mat & Yunus, 2014; AL Harthy, 2017).

The motivation is called instrumental when someone wants to learn a language for a particular need, for instance, to get an employment and integrative motivation when wants to become a participant of the society having second language) (Gardner & Lambert, 1972).

Reseach Objectives

The study has been comprised of two objectives one was to identify students' level of orientations of motivation for second language learning and other was to compare students' orientations of motivation for english language learning at higher education level.

Literature Review

Language is the most important instrument of communication which takes place in a social context. So meaningful the communication is to understand and recognze the relation between the language and how people use it. The decision which we make and the decisions, which are made by other people about us, are derived from the language we use. We often judge a person's education, social and economic standing, background, honesty, friendliness and other qualities by considering the speech of the person and when we express our impression about someone choose language just as to choose dress or hairstyles (Amberg & Vause, 2009).

The most important fact for childern to successfully acquire the first language (Li) is that language is a tool to know the world and the process of language acquisition is a process of socialisation. The child in the beginning learn the language, the word he hears or utters has a close connection with the object it indicates. It is this close connection betweenth a language and material worldth at makes language acquisition successful for a normal child hence the language is both process of cognitive development and process of socialization withdraw which the child is learning the language to identify with his

parents and family at the beginning school and later with the people in society (Whiteside et al., 2017).

Learning Foreign language (FL) is being used in different aspects of aquiring education in through out the world (Macaro, 2003; Macaro et al., 2015; Murphy, 2014; Murphy & Evangelou, 2015; Wivers, 2018). There is no cognitive nor socializing urgency invloved. The learner has completed or will if very young; complete his knowing-world process with the help of his mother tongue and so is the process of socialization. There is no crisis or pressure of social identification. It is , on the contrary, the foreign language that is regarded as alien. Speaking a foreign language thus is often considered as showing off, strange, or crazy. Therefore, it is difficult to encourage students, especially adult students, to speak foreign language in everyday situation. In addition, speaking a foreign language in everyday condition is not only unnatural but also inconvenient. The speech, which is usually much slower and more ambiguous, often discourages the speaker, let alone the lack of response on the listeners part because of failure understanding or lack of interest.

The researchers conducted many studies for motivation in the field of psychology and education (Dörnyei, 2001a). Motivation of a learner is an important variables that can impact language learning. It has been presented in research articles as predictor in learning of a foreign language as motivation is a process rather than a product. Motivation is basic condition for achievement associated with success.

Motivation is indeed the foundation on which learning and skill development must be built. This interest may reflect the common perception about the classroom teachers that when they are more aware of motivation of students, the more improvemet in student's educational achievements is seen in general (Dörnyei, 2001b). The socio-educational model of

language learning presented by <u>Gardner</u> (1985) is considered as one of the most popular models in the research studies related to language learning (Robinson, 1995; <u>Cochran, McCallum, & Bell, 2010</u>). This model is based on attitude of students towards the learning, their motivation (integrative orientation and instrumental orientation).

Gardner (1985) describes that motivation is among the most important sources influencing learning of language. The motivation of the students to learn is comprised of four aspects: a goal, effort, want, and attitudes toward the learning activity. Moreover, the motivation of the learner can be classified into two categories including, orientation as instrumental and other as integrative. Reece and Walker (1997) expressed that a weak yet, a motivated student can be more successful than an intelligent student. The teacher should maintain the motivation of the students to learn language.

Language is used to communicate with the people around us relating to our feelings, desires, questions/understand the word about us. We use proper words gestures and tone of voice in a diversity of situations (Sinha, 2012). Lepper et al. (2005) discovered that there is much correlation between the intrinsic motivation and academic achievemnet of a language learner whereas extrinsic motivation indicated negative correlation with academic achievement. Yuet (2008) has conducted his research on the relationship of one factor, motivation, on the achievement in foreign language learning. His study has the setting of a sixth form college in Hong Kong.

Research Methodology

The study is descriptive cum survey in nature. The quantitative techniques were used to collect and analyze data. The quantitative methods strengthen the

research and allows the research questions to be viewed through the quantitative lenses.

Out of total six public sector general universities three universities (50% of total) having twenty four (24) Bachelor-4 years programs in Hazara University (HU), sixteen (16) Bachelor-4 years programs in Abdul Wali Khan University (AWKUM) and eleven (11) Bachelor -4 years programs in University of Science and Technology, Bannu (USTB) included in the study. The sample of the study was randomly selected from tweleve undergrduate programs of HU Mansehra and eight of (AWKUM) and five from USTB. The sample was comprised of 500 students, twenty (20) students, from each program of the abve three universities of the sample.

researcher developed The questionnaire comprised of 16 items having students' integrative orientations motivation instrumental (4 items). orientations of motivation (6 items). resultative orientations of motivation (3 items), and intrinsic orientations of motivation (3 items). Questionnaires were distributed through personal visits among students. They have to respond on five point likert scale after briefing that it is not test but it is your perceptions about psychological factors those affect Second Language Learning.

The collected data were tabulated and analyzed to find the frequency of responses for each statement of the questionnaire. The mean scores, standard deviation for each orientation motivation. To determine the motivational level of students, Kitjaroonchai and Kitjaroonchai (2012) used the interpreting technique developed by Best (1981) and Degang (2010). The range of mean score for strongly agree was 4.50-5.00. for agree was 3.50-4.50 for moderate was 2.50-3.50 for disagree was 1.50-2.50 for strongly disagree was1.50-0.50.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Table 1. Students' Integrative Orientations of Motivation

| S. No | SDA | DA | UD | A | SA | Mean | Level |
|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------|---------|
| MINT 1 | 12(2.4%) | 6(1.2%) | 31(6.2%) | 202(40.4%) | 249(49.8%) | 4.34 | High |
| MINT 2 | 18(3.6%) | 42(8.4%) | 90(18.0%) | 159(31.8%) | 191(38.2%) | 3.93 | High |
| MINT 3 | 49(9.8%) | 59(11.8%) | 97(19.4%) | 163(32.6%) | 132(26.4%) | 3.45 | Average |
| MINT 4 | 15(3.0%) | 25(5.0%) | 68(13.6%) | 195(42.4%) | 62(36.0%) | 4.03 | High |

Table 1 suggests that the students are agreed 90.2% (A=40.4%, SA=49.8%), neutral 6.2% and disagreed 3.6%(DA=1.2%, SDA=2.4%) with statement "English will help me acquire new ideas and broaden my outlook". the students are agreed 70.0%(A=31.8%, SA=38.2%), neutral 18.0% and disagreed 12.0% (DA=8.4%, SDA=3.6%) with statement "English will enable me to better understand and appreciate English culture". the students are agreed 90.2%(A=40.4%, SA=49.8%),

neutral 6.2% and disagreed 3.6%(DA=1.2%, SDA=2.4%) with statement "I am interested in English music". the students are agreed 90.2%(A=40.4%, SA=49.8%), Neutral 6.2% and disagreed 3.6%(DA=1.2%, SDA=2.4%) with statement "I can learn more about the world through learning English". All four statements for their integrative orientations of motivation and average mean scores for each statement ranges from 3.45 to 4.34 for learning English language.

Table 2. Students' Instrumental Orientations of Motivation

| S. No | SDA | DA | UD | A | SA | Mean | Level |
|--------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|------|-------|
| MINS 1 | 12(2.4%) | 31(6.2%) | 50(10.0%) | 151(30.2%) | 256(47.6%) | 4.21 | |
| MINS 2 | 6(1.2%) | 32(6.4%) | 90(18.0%) | 224(44.8%) | 148(29.6%) | 3.95 | |

| S. No | SDA | DA | UD | A | SA | Mean | Level |
|--------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|------|-------|
| MINS 3 | 18(1.8%) | 19(1.8%) | 47(1.8%) | 114(22.8%) | 302(60.4%) | 4.32 | High |
| MINS 4 | 12(1.8%) | 26(1.8%) | 108(1.8%) | 212(42.4%) | 142(28.4%) | 3.89 | |
| MINS 5 | 24(1.8%) | 12(1.8%) | 48(1.8%) | 182(36.4%) | 234(46.8%) | 4.18 | |
| MINS 6 | 12(1.8%) | 28(1.8%) | 56(1.8%) | 254(50.8%) | 150(30.0%) | 4.00 | |

Table 2 indicates that the students are agreed 81.4%(A=30.2%, SA=51.2%), neutral 10.0% and disagreed 8.8%(DA=6.4%, SDA=2.4%) with statement "English is necessary to get a good job". the students are 74.4%(A=44.8%, SA=29.6%), neutral 18.0% and disagreed 7.6%(DA=6.4%, SDA=1.2%) with statement "English is essential to be active in society". the students are agreed 83.2%(A=22.8%, SA=60.4%), neutral 9.4% and disagreed 7.4%(DA=3.8, SDA=3.6%) with statement "English will help me if I should ever travel abroad". the students are agreed 70.8%(A=42.4%, SA=28.4%), neutral 21.6% and disagreed 7.6%(DA=5.2%, SDA=2.4%)

with statement "English is essential for personal development". the students are agreed 83.2%(A=36.4%, SA=46.8%), neutral 7.2%(DA=2.4%, 9.6% and disagreed SDA=4.8%) with statement "English will be helpful for my future career". the students are agreed 80.8%(A=50.8%, SA=30.0%), neutral 11.2% disagreed 7.8%(DA=5.6%, SDA=2.4%) with statement "English will help me to pass my exams and graduate from the college". The students are agreed with all six items for their instrumental orientations of motivation and average mean scores for each statements ranges from 3.89 to 4.32 for learning English language.

Table 3. Students' Resultative Orientations of Motivation

| S. No | SDA | DA | UD | A | SA | Mean | Level |
|-------|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------|---------|
| MR 1 | 18(3.6%) | 32(6.4%) | 102(20.4%) | 216(43.2%) | 132(26.4%) | 3.82 | High |
| MR 2 | 9(1.8%) | 19(1.8%) | 99(1.8%) | 202(40.4%) | 171(34.8%) | 4.01 | High |
| MR 3 | 9(1.8%) | 48(9.6%) | 89(1.8%) | 173(1.8%) | 181(1.8%) | 3.39 | Average |

Table 3 indicates that the students are agreed 69.6% (A=43.2%, SA=26.4%), neutral 20.4% and disagreed 10.0%(DA=6.4%, SDA=3.6%) with statement "I like to discuss something in English but not in first language". the students are agreed 74.6%(A=40.4%, SA=34.2%), neutral 19.8% and disagreed 5.7% (DA=3.8%, SDA=1.9%) with statement "I enjoy discussions in English class". the

students are agreed 70.8% (A=34.6%, SA=36.2%), neutral 17.8% and disagreed 11.4% (DA=9.6%, SDA=1.8%) with statement "It is important to use a course book in class". The students are agreed with all three items for their resultative orientations of motivation and average mean scores for each statements ranges from 3.39 to 4.01 for learning English language.

Table 4. Students' Intrinsic Orientations of Motivation

| S. No | SDA | DA | UD | A | SA | Mean | Level |
|---------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------|---------|
| MINTR 1 | 62(4.4%) | 93(14.6%) | 155(31.0%) | 145(29.0%) | 105(21.0%) | 3.27 | Average |
| MINTR 2 | 6(1.2%) | 34(6.8%) | 122(24.4%) | 160(32.0%) | 178(35.6%) | 3.94 | High |
| MINTR 3 | 21(4.2%) | 18(3.6%) | 54(10.8%) | 147(29.4%) | 260(52.0%) | 4.21 | High |

Table 4 reveals that the students are agreed 90.2% (A=40.4%, SA=49.8%), neutral 6.2% and disagreed 3.6% (DA=1.2%, SDA=2.4%)

with statement "I feel freer to express myself in English than I do in first language". the students are agreed 70.0%(A=31.8%,

SA=38.2%), neutral 18.0% and disagreed 12.0% (DA=8.4%, SDA=3.6%) with statement "I try to use English as much as possible in class time". the students are agreed 90.2% (A=40.4%, SA=49.8%), neutral 6.2% and disagreed 3.6% (DA=1.2%, SDA=2.4%) with

statement "I always enjoy learning English". the students are agreed with all three items for their intrinsic orientations of motivation and average mean scores for each statement ranges from 3.93 to 4.21 for learning English language.

Table 5. Descriptive Statistics Orientation of Motivation to learn English

| Motivation | Mean | SD | SE of Mean | Level |
|--------------|------|------|------------|-------|
| Integrative | 3.94 | 0.66 | 0.052 | High |
| Instrumental | 4.09 | 0.56 | 0.044 | High |
| Resultative | 3.74 | 0.68 | 0.054 | High |
| Intrinsic | 3.81 | 0.65 | 0.052 | High |

Table 5 implies that the students are highly motivated as mean scores of integrative (3.94) with SD (0.66) and SE of mean (0.052), intrumental (4.09) with SD (0.56) and SE of mean (0.044), resultative (3.74) with SD

(0.68) and standard error of mean (0.054) and intrinsic (3.81) with SD (0.65) and standard error of mean (0.052) orientations of motivation for orientations of motivation to learn English.

Table 6. ANOVA for Comparison of Orientation of Motivation to learn English

| Orientation of Motivation to learn English | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|--|-------------------|-----|----------------|-------|-------|
| Between Groups | 4.410 | 3 | 1.470 | | |
| Within Groups | 260.970 | 636 | 0.410 | 3.583 | 0.014 |
| Total | 265.380 | 639 | | | |

Table 6 indicates the value of Analysis of Varience (ANOVA) (F= 3.583, p= 0.014), p<0.05 so there is a statistically significant

difference among orientations of motivation shown by the students to learn English.

Table 7. Post Hoc for Multiple Comparisons Orientation of Motivation to learn English

| 26.0 | 36.4 | D: 00 | 0.1 | | 95% Confidence | |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|
| Motivation | Motivation | Mean Difference | Std. | Sig. | Interval | |
| (I) | (J) | (I-J) | Error | | Lower | Upper |
| | | | | | Bound | Bound |
| Integrative | Instrumental | -0.135 | 0.072 | 0.234 | -0.320 | 0.049 |
| integrative | Resultative | 0.038 | 0.072 | 0.951 | -0.146 | 0.223 |
| | Intrinsic | 0.088 | 0.072 | 0.605 | -0.096 | 0.273 |
| Instrumental | Resultative | 0.173 | 0.072 | 0.074 | -0.011 | 0.358 |
| | Intrinsic | 0.224 | 0.072 | 0.010 | 0.040 | 0.408 |
| Resultative | Intrinsic | 0.050 | 0.072 | 0.897 | -0.134 | 0.235 |

^{*.} For significant difference level is 0.05.

Table 7 illustrates that the difference between interogative and instrumental,

interogative and resultative, and interogative and intrinsic orientations of motivation for

students' learning English language are not statistically significant as p=0.234, p=0.234, p=0.234, respectively are greater than 0.05. The difference between students' instrumental and intrinsic orientations of motivation for learning English language is not statistically significant as p=0.074 is greater than 0.05 whereas the difference between students' instrumental and intrinsic is statistically significant as p=0.010 is less than 0.05. The difference between other students' resultative and intrinsic orientations of motivation is not statistically significant as p=0.897 is greater than 0.05.

Results and Discussion

The average mean scores for learning English language of students integrative, instrumental, resultative and intrinsic

orientations of motivation for all statements are above average (Table 1-5). High students' orientations of motivation for learning English language show that the students during their education at university are more inclined to learn than their pervious education acquiring period (Table 6-7). These results are align with the results of (Aripin et al., 2008; Al Harthy, 2017). The motivational students are more proficient learners of second language (Kruidenier, 1985; Dornyei, 1994; Akram & Ghani, 2013). Corria (1999) pointed out that understanding of the level of motivation of students is a prerequisite to improve their English learning. Students' interrogative motivation, orientation, and self-confidence to learn English as second are positively correlated (Clement et al., 1994; Yeung et al., 2011; Saranraj et al., 2016).

References

- Adams, K., & Keene, M. (2000). Research and writing across the disciplines (2nd ed.).
 California: Mayfield Publishing Company
- Akram, M. & Ghani, M. (2013). Gender and language learning motivation. *Academic Research International*, 4(2), 536-540.
- Al Harthy, S. (2017). English language motivation between gender and cultures. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 7(2), 123-132
- Ali, J. & Bin-Hady, W. (2019). A Study of EFL Students' Attitudes, Motivation and Anxiety towards WhatsApp as a Language Learning Tool. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 8(4), 289-298
- Al-Khairy, M. (2013). English as a foreign language learning demotivational factors as perceived by Saudi undergraduates. *European Scientific Journal*, 9(32), 365-382.
- Al-Qahtani, M. F. (2013). Relationship between English language, learning strategies, attitudes, motivation, and students' academic achievement. *Education in Medicine Journal*, *5*(3), 19-29.
- Amberg, J. S., & Vause, D. J. (2009). *American English: History, structure, and usage.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Clement, R., & Kruidenier, B. G. (1985).
 Aptitude, Attitude and Motivation in Second Language Proficiency: A Test Of Clément's Model. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 4(1), 21–37. https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927x850040
- Clement, R., Dornyei, Z. & Noels, K. A. (1994). Motivation, self-confidence, and group cohesion in the foreign language classroom. *Language Learning*, 44(3), 417-448
- Cochran, J. L., McCallum, R.S., & Bell, S. M. (2010). Three A's: How do attributions, attitudes, and aptitude contribute to

- foreign language learning? Foreign Language Annals, 43 (4), 566–582.
- Corria, I. L. (1999). Motivating ELT learners. *English Teaching Forum*, *37*(2), 17-18
- Dornyei, Z. (1994). Understanding L2 motivation: On with the challenge. *The Modern Language Journal* 78(5), 515-23
- Dörnyei, Z. (1994b). Motivation and Motivating in the Foreign Language Classroom. *The Modern Language Journal*, 78(3), 273–284. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.1994.tbo2042.x
- Dörnyei, Z. (2001a). *Teaching and researching motivation*. Harlow England: Pearson Education.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2001b). New themes and approaches in second language motivation research. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 21, 43–59. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0267190501000
- Dörnyei, Z. (2003). Attitudes, Orientations, and Motivations in Language Learning: Advances in Theory, Research, and Applications. *Language Learning*, 53(S1), 3–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9922.53222
- Gardner, R. C. & Lambert, E. W. (1972).

 Attitude and motivation in second-language learning. Massachusetts:

 Newbury house Publisher.
- Gardner, R. C. (1985). Social psychology and second language learning: The role of attitudes and motivation. London: Edward Arnold.
- Khalid, A. (2016). A study of the attitudes and motivational orientations of Pakistani learners toward the learning of English as a second language. *SAGE Open*, *6*(3), 1-19
- Lepper, M. R., Corpus, J. H., & Iyenger, S. S. (2005.). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivational orientations the in classroom: differences Age and academic correlates. Iournal Educational Psychology, 97(2), 184-196.

- Macaro, E. (2003). Teaching and learning a second language: A guide to current research and its applications. London: Continuum.
- Macaro, E., Graham, S. & Woore, R. (2015). Improving foreign language teaching: Towards a research-based curriculum and pedagogy. London: Routledge
- Murphy, V.A. & Evangelou, M. (Eds). (2015). Early childhood education in English for speakers of other languages. London: British Council.
- Murphy, V.A. (2014). Second language learning in the early school years: Trends and contexts. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Oxford, R.L. (1990). Language learning strategies: Wwhat every teacher should know. New York: Newbury House
- Qashoa, S. H. H. (2006). Motivation among learner of English in the secondary schools in the eastren coast of the UAE, (Unpublish master thesis). Institute of Education, British University, Dubai
- Reece, I. & Walker, S. (1997). *Teaching, training and learning. A practical guide.* (3rd ed.). Great Britain: Business Education Publishers Limited.
- Rosenberg, M. (1965). Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Saranraj, L., Khan, Z. & Zafar, S. (2016). Influence of Motivational Factors and Gender Differences on Learning English as a Second Language: A Case of Engineering Students from Rural Background. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 9(44), 1-7.
- Tamada, Y. (1996). The relationship between Japanese learners' personal factors and their choices of language learning strategies. *Modern Language Journal*, 80, 120–131.
- Whiteside, K. E., Gooch, D. & Norbury, C. (2017). English language proficiency and early school attainment among children learning English as an additional language. *Child Development*, 2(3), 812-827.
- Wivers, W. M. (2018). *Teaching foreign language skill*, (2nd ed.) Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Yeung, A., Lau, S. & Nie, Y. (2011). Primary and secondary students' motivation in learning English: Grade and gender differences. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 36(3), 246-256.
- Yuet, C. C. (2008). The relationship between motivation and achievement in foreign language learning in a Sixth Form College in Hong Kong, (Unpublished doctoral dissertation) University of Leicester, UK.